

Subject: NSPA RFP DWI17001 - 4 x 4 Light Armoured Vehicles (VTLB) - Questions and Answers (Issue 15)

Note 1: NSPA will post all official communications regarding this procurement on its website. In addition, NSPA reserves the right to amend this RFP at any time prior to the proposal submission deadline. Any changes, amendments, or clarifications will be made in the form of responses to bidders’ questions, amendments, or addendum issued by NSPA. Bidders should check NSPA’s website frequently for notice of matters affecting this procurement.

Note 2: Technical Questions and Answers are marked in Green

Note 3: Commercial Questions and Answers are marked in Blue

Note 4: This Issue 15 provides two (2) of the four (4) outstanding answers to the Technical Clarification Questions. It is anticipated that the remaining Technical Clarification Questions will be answered during w/c 17 July.

No.	Requirement No. [XX] or Reference	Clarification Question	Clarification Answer
1	Bidder Instructions - Para 6	Does “final acceptance” at clause no. 6 refer to the “factory acceptance” which draft should be submitted as per TAB 6? Does “final acceptance” at clause no. 6 will be conducted prior to awarding decision (among bidders) or prior to the first delivery by the contractor (after being awarded) in accordance with TAB 6?	The final acceptance for each vehicle will conducted in Portugal after the factory acceptance test and delivery. This will be completed after Contract award.
2	253	According to Req [253], the Reg [57] does not apply to this configuration E2. Either Req [57] is not mentioned at Req [255]. Req [13] is double mentioned as not applicable at Req [255]. But [11] is not mentioned neither at Req [253] nor [255]. Req [114] and [115] are applicable (as per Req [253]), but are not applicable, as per Req [255]. Req [83] is applicable, so storage places for four metal magazines for ammunition for 12.7 mm HMG, 40 mm AGL must be provided. However, Req [118] and [120] are not mentioned neither as applicable nor as not applicable. Question: Clarification to above contradictions would be highly appreciated. Req [251] is double mentioned at Req [254], but there is no mention Req [252].	Please Addendum No. 2 dated 18 July 2017 that has been published under Clarification No. 14.

Outstanding Clarification Questions

1	84	Are there any EMC performance requirements for only the vehicle? Only the vehicles means that no CIS are considered for the purpose of this question?	Still to be answered.
2	SOW - Table 14 (Warranty)	Is it possible to clarify the operational model for warranty repairs?	Still to be answered.